ADCTI Statewide Albuquerque, NM (2016)

This report was generated on 11/21/16. Overall 60 respondents completed this questionnaire. The report has been filtered to show the responses for 'All Respondents'.

The following charts are restricted to the top 12 codes. Lists are restricted to the most recent 100 rows.

Please select the discipline you represent on the team:

- Treatment Provider (17) 28%
- Coordinator (13) 22%
- Probation (13) 22%
- Judge (8) 13%
- Other (6) 10%
- Defense Counsel (2) 3%
- Prosecutor (1) 2%
- Law Enforcement (-)
- Evaluator (-)
- Veterans Justice Outreach Coordinator (-)
- Mentor Coordinator (-)
- Mentor (-)
Please specify:
Retired attorney
Surveillance Officer
Surveillance Officer
Adult Drug Court
Court Probation Officer
Court Probation Officer
Juvenile Drug Court Coordinator
Clinic Administrator
Administrator
Administrator
CBHC for CYFD/JJS- providing 7 Challenges
Metro Court Program Manager Mental Health Court
Probation Officer II, Metro Court
Program Manager for Veterans Court
Bernalillo County Metropolitan Court-Court Probation Officer II
counselor and instructor

Are you a federal employee?

No (59) 98%
Yes (1) 2%

Are you Hispanic or Latino? Select one.

No (35) 58%
Yes (23) 38%
No Response (2) 3%
What is your race? Select one.

- Caucasian (54) 92%
- Black or African American (4) 7%
- American Indian (1) 2%
- Alaska Native (-)
- Asian (-)
- Native Hawaiian (-)
- Pacific Islander (-)

If other, please specify.
- Hispanic
- Creole
- No thanks
- Hispanic

Plenary Session: Best Practice Assessment Report and NM Drug Court Standards
Speaker: Juliette Mackin and Peter Bochert
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Subject: )

- 7 (23) 40%
- 6 (21) 36%
- 5 (7) 12%
- 4 (3) 5%
- Did Not Attend (3) 5%
- 3 (1) 2%
- 1 (-)
- 2 (-)
Plenary Session: Best Practice Assessment Report and NM Drug Court Standards
Speaker: Juliette Mackin and Peter Bochert
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Presenter: )

7 (24) 41%
6 (15) 26%
5 (11) 19%
4 (3) 5%
Did Not Attend (3) 5%
3 (2) 3%
1 (-)
2 (-)

Plenary Session: Best Practice Assessment Report and NM Drug Court Standards
Speaker: Juliette Mackin and Peter Bochert
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Material: )

7 (23) 40%
6 (14) 24%
5 (13) 22%
4 (3) 5%
Did Not Attend (3) 5%
3 (2) 3%
1 (-)
2 (-)
Plenary Session: Best Practice Assessment Report and NM Drug Court Standards
Speaker: Juliette Mackin and Peter Bochert
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Length: )

- 7 (18) | 31%
- 5 (13) | 22%
- 6 (11) | 19%
- 4 (8)  | 14%
- 3 (3)  | 5%
- Did Not Attend (3) | 5%
- 2 (2)  | 3%
- 1 (1)  | 2%

Plenary Session: Best Practice Assessment Report and NM Drug Court Standards
Speaker: Juliette Mackin and Peter Bochert
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Methods: )

- 7 (19) | 33%
- 6 (17) | 29%
- 5 (13) | 22%
- 4 (4)  | 7%
- Did Not Attend (3) | 5%
- 2 (1)  | 2%
- 3 (1)  | 2%
- 1 (1)  | 2%

Comments:
High quality speakers - very articulate and engaging
Would like to see more focus on application of the findings into practice.
Helpful
Very dry presentation. Listening to speakers who are reading off stats is rough.
Plenary Session: The DWI Offender vs. the Drug Offender
Speaker: Judge Patrick Bowler and Dr. Ken Robinson
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Subject: )

7 (38) 68%
6 (12) 21%
5 (3) 5%
Did Not Attend (3) 5%
1 (-)
2 (-)
3 (-)
4 (-)

Plenary Session: The DWI Offender vs. the Drug Offender
Speaker: Judge Patrick Bowler and Dr. Ken Robinson
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Presenter: )

7 (41) 73%
6 (8) 14%
5 (4) 7%
Did Not Attend (3) 5%
1 (-)
2 (-)
3 (-)
4 (-)
Plenary Session: The DWI Offender vs. the Drug Offender
Speaker: Judge Patrick Bowler and Dr. Ken Robinson
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Material: )

7 (35) 63%
6 (12) 21%
5 (6) 11%
Did Not Attend (3) 5%
1 (-)
2 (-)
3 (-)
4 (-)

Plenary Session: The DWI Offender vs. the Drug Offender
Speaker: Judge Patrick Bowler and Dr. Ken Robinson
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Length: )

7 (33) 59%
6 (12) 21%
5 (8) 14%
Did Not Attend (3) 5%
1 (-)
2 (-)
3 (-)
4 (-)
Plenary Session: The DWI Offender vs. the Drug Offender
Speaker: Judge Patrick Bowler and Dr. Ken Robinson
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Methods: )

- 7 (32) 57%
- 6 (17) 30%
- 5 (4) 7%
- Did Not Attend (3) 5%
  1 (-)
  2 (-)
  3 (-)
  4 (-)

Comments:

Very engaging and well spoken, knowledgeable.
Funny presenters and great, useful information
This was a great presentation, although it should have ran a little bit longer so Dr. Robinson could finish his part of his presentation.
pretty good info. and differing perspectives were helpful
Always enjoy Judge Bowler- he is an engaging speaker. Also enjoyed Dr. Robinson.
Useful distinctions for treatment that should be incorporated in our state “hybrid” court model. Peter, take note.
High quality speakers and very effective at communicating their topics.
Breakout Session: Ethics and Confidentiality: Doing the Right Thing
Speaker: Judge Patrick Bowler
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Subject: )

Did Not Attend (28) 50%
7 (20) 36%
6 (6) 11%
5 (2) 4%
1 (-)
2 (-)
3 (-)
4 (-)

Breakout Session: Ethics and Confidentiality: Doing the Right Thing
Speaker: Judge Patrick Bowler
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Presenter: )

Did Not Attend (28) 50%
7 (21) 38%
6 (5) 9%
5 (2) 4%
1 (-)
2 (-)
3 (-)
4 (-)
Breakout Session: Ethics and Confidentiality: Doing the Right Thing
Speaker: Judge Patrick Bowler
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7

Did Not Attend (28) 50%
7 (17) 30%
6 (8) 14%
5 (2) 4%
4 (1) 2%
1 (-)
2 (-)
3 (-)

Breakout Session: Ethics and Confidentiality: Doing the Right Thing
Speaker: Judge Patrick Bowler
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7

Did Not Attend (28) 50%
7 (17) 30%
6 (8) 14%
5 (3) 5%
1 (-)
2 (-)
3 (-)
4 (-)
Breakout Session: Ethics and Confidentiality: Doing the Right Thing  
Speaker: Judge Patrick Bowler  
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Methods: )

Did Not Attend (28)  
7 (17)  
6 (7)  
5 (3)  
3 (1)  
1 (-)  
2 (-)  
4 (-)  

50%  
30%  
13%  
5%  
2%  

Comments:  
Very informative. A good eye opener on confidentiality and what is needed for release of information. The material is very important- but feel that this was more suited to legal interpretation and implementation versus treatment. Most Treatment providers are required to obtain CEU's in ethics individually for every licensure renewal and fall under the guidelines of structure defined within the agencies they work for. Therefore, they have less control over the mechanics of how releases are worded or even obtained. Drug Courts would probably benefit from creating a Best Policy and Procedures and sample releases to use as guidelines to offset this. Therefore, if you were providing care for a Drug Court participant, the Treatment provider would utilize the "Drug Court" releases and use "Drug Court" policy and procedures when staffing, reporting etc. I think this was implied in the material but not easily recognized...

Breakout Session: Trauma Focused Moral Reconciliation Therapy  
Speaker: Dr. Ken Robinson  
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Subject: )

Did Not Attend (31)  
7 (17)  
6 (6)  
5 (2)  
1 (-)  
2 (-)  
3 (-)  
4 (-)  

55%  
30%  
11%  
4%  

Breakout Session: Trauma Focused Moral Reconciliation Therapy
Speaker: Dr. Ken Robinson
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Presenter: )

Did Not Attend (31) 55%
- 7 (15) 27%
- 6 (8) 14%
- 1 (1) 2%
- 5 (1) 2%
- 2 (-)
- 3 (-)
- 4 (-)

Breakout Session: Trauma Focused Moral Reconciliation Therapy
Speaker: Dr. Ken Robinson
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Material: )

Did Not Attend (31) 55%
- 7 (14) 25%
- 6 (7) 13%
- 5 (3) 5%
- 4 (1) 2%
- 1 (-)
- 2 (-)
- 3 (-)
### Breakout Session: Trauma Focused Moral Reconciliation Therapy
Speaker: Dr. Ken Robinson
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attendance Level</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Did Not Attend</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Breakout Session: Trauma Focused Moral Reconciliation Therapy
Speaker: Dr. Ken Robinson
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attendance Level</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Did Not Attend</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comments:
Well presented but not totally new material to me.
We only touched on material...just not sufficient time to explore the material. Invite Dr. Ken back!
Dr. Robinson was awful. His co-presenter rescued the presentation. He was arrogant and condescending. Tried to present himself as just a good old boy from the South. He ridiculed every other professional and colleague in his talk, who was not himself. He put down EMDR and all other methods were not MRT. All he did was try to market MRT. His sharing of stories of the trauma that others went through was incoherent, and not clear how it related to MRT. Other than it was an example that he was the only compassionate and insightful person the victim ever met. I never have been around so much self-aggrandazing
He's always good.
Good material. High quality speaker

Breakout Session: Marijuana: Myth and Reality
Speaker: Dr. Ken Robinson
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Subject: )

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Did Not Attend (32)</th>
<th>57%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 (20)</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 (3)</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (1)</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (-)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (-)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (-)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (-)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Breakout Session: Marijuana: Myth and Reality
Speaker: Dr. Ken Robinson
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Presenter: )

Did Not Attend (32) 57%
7 (16) 29%
6 (5) 9%
5 (2) 4%
2 (1) 2%
1 (-)
3 (-)
4 (-)

Breakout Session: Marijuana: Myth and Reality
Speaker: Dr. Ken Robinson
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Material: )

Did Not Attend (32) 57%
7 (16) 29%
6 (5) 9%
5 (2) 4%
2 (1) 2%
1 (-)
3 (-)
4 (-)
Breakout Session: Marijuana: Myth and Reality
Speaker: Dr. Ken Robinson
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Length: )

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>57%</td>
<td>Did Not Attend (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29%</td>
<td>7 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11%</td>
<td>6 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4%</td>
<td>5 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>3 (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>4 (-)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Breakout Session: Marijuana: Myth and Reality
Speaker: Dr. Ken Robinson
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Methods: )

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>57%</td>
<td>Did Not Attend (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27%</td>
<td>7 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9%</td>
<td>6 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>3 (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>4 (-)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

Very stimulating
Room was very crowded, there were about 8 or so of us sitting on the floor in the back of the room
Ditto.
Very stimulating and engaging speaker
Plenary Session: Program Certification and Peer Review Process
Speaker: Juliette Mackin and Peter Bochert
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Subject: )

7 (21) 38%
6 (16) 29%
Did Not Attend (12) 21%
5 (4) 7%
4 (2) 4%
3 (1) 2%
1 (-)
2 (-)

Plenary Session: Program Certification and Peer Review Process
Speaker: Juliette Mackin and Peter Bochert
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Presenter: )

7 (18) 32%
6 (15) 27%
Did Not Attend (12) 21%
5 (8) 14%
4 (2) 4%
2 (1) 2%
1 (-)
3 (-)
Plenary Session: Program Certification and Peer Review Process
Speaker: Juliette Mackin and Peter Bochert
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Material:  )

7 (18) | 32%
6 (17) | 30%
Did Not Attend (12) | 21%
5 (5) | 9%
4 (3) | 5%
2 (1) | 2%
1 (-) |
3 (-) |

Plenary Session: Program Certification and Peer Review Process
Speaker: Juliette Mackin and Peter Bochert
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Length:  )

7 (17) | 32%
6 (13) | 25%
Did Not Attend (12) | 23%
4 (6) | 11%
5 (4) | 8%
2 (1) | 2%
1 (-) |
3 (-) |
Plenary Session: Program Certification and Peer Review Process  
Speaker: Juliette Mackin and Peter Bochert  
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Methods: )

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did Not Attend</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:
I am eager for this certification process to begin and to improve the ways in which we help our program participants.
Congratulations! Again, useful.
Very quality speakers who communicated effectively.

Breakout Session: DWI Drug Court Phases Revisited  
Speaker: Carolyn Hardin  
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Subject: )

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Did Not Attend</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Breakout Session: DWI Drug Court Phases Revisited
Speaker: Carolyn Hardin
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Presenter: )

Did Not Attend (40) 71%

- 7 (11) 20%
- 6 (3) 5%
- 4 (1) 2%
- 5 (1) 2%
- 1 (-)
- 2 (-)
- 3 (-)

Breakout Session: DWI Drug Court Phases Revisited
Speaker: Carolyn Hardin
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Material: )

Did Not Attend (40) 71%

- 7 (11) 20%
- 6 (3) 5%
- 4 (1) 2%
- 5 (1) 2%
- 1 (-)
- 2 (-)
- 3 (-)
Breakout Session: DWI Drug Court Phases Revisited
Speaker: Carolyn Hardin
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Length: )

Did Not Attend (40) 71%
7 (11) 20%
6 (3) 5%
4 (1) 2%
5 (1) 2%
1 (-)
2 (-)
3 (-)

Breakout Session: DWI Drug Court Phases Revisited
Speaker: Carolyn Hardin
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Methods: )

Did Not Attend (39) 71%
7 (11) 20%
6 (3) 6%
4 (1) 2%
5 (1) 2%
1 (-)
2 (-)
3 (-)

Comments:
Carolyn: I always learn something new during your presentations. I look forward to shifting our phase advancements more towards skill building rather than being 100% based on quantitative measures.
Very knowledgeable speaker who presented in an engaging manner.
Still awaiting Powerpoint that presenter stated would be made available and distributed.
Really well prepared and knowledgeable speaker.
Breakout Session: The Role and Leadership Responsibilities of the Drug Court Judge
Speaker: Judge Patrick Bowler
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Subject: )

Did Not Attend (49) 89%
7 (6) 11%
1 (-)
2 (-)
3 (-)
4 (-)
5 (-)
6 (-)

Breakout Session: The Role and Leadership Responsibilities of the Drug Court Judge
Speaker: Judge Patrick Bowler
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Presenter: )

Did Not Attend (46) 89%
7 (6) 12%
1 (-)
2 (-)
3 (-)
4 (-)
5 (-)
6 (-)
Breakout Session: The Role and Leadership Responsibilities of the Drug Court Judge
Speaker: Judge Patrick Bowler
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Material: )

Did Not Attend (46) 89%

7 (6) 12%
1 (-)
2 (-)
3 (-)
4 (-)
5 (-)
6 (-)

Breakout Session: The Role and Leadership Responsibilities of the Drug Court Judge
Speaker: Judge Patrick Bowler
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Length: )

Did Not Attend (46) 89%

7 (6) 12%
1 (-)
2 (-)
3 (-)
4 (-)
5 (-)
6 (-)
### Breakout Session: The Role and Leadership Responsibilities of the Drug Court Judge
Speaker: Judge Patrick Bowler
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Methods: )

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Did Not Attend (46)</th>
<th>89%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 (6)</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (-)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (-)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (-)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (-)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (-)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 (-)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Breakout Session: Adult Drug Court Phases Revisited
Speaker: Carolyn Hardin
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Subject: )

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Did Not Attend (42)</th>
<th>76%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 (10)</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 (3)</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (-)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (-)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (-)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (-)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (-)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Breakout Session: Adult Drug Court Phases Revisited
Speaker: Carolyn Hardin
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Presenter: )

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Did Not Attend (42)</th>
<th>76%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 (8)</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 (4)</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (1)</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (-)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (-)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (-)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (-)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Breakout Session: Adult Drug Court Phases Revisited
Speaker: Carolyn Hardin
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Material: )

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Did Not Attend (42)</th>
<th>76%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 (8)</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 (5)</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (-)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (-)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (-)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (-)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (-)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Breakout Session: Adult Drug Court Phases Revisited
Speaker: Carolyn Hardin
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Length: )

Did Not Attend (42) - 76%
- 7 (7) - 13%
- 6 (5) - 9%
- 5 (1) - 2%
- 1 (-) -
- 2 (-) -
- 3 (-) -
- 4 (-) -

Breakout Session: Adult Drug Court Phases Revisited
Speaker: Carolyn Hardin
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Methods: )

Did Not Attend (42) - 76%
- 7 (7) - 13%
- 6 (5) - 9%
- 4 (1) - 2%
- 1 (-) -
- 2 (-) -
- 3 (-) -
- 5 (-) -

Comments:
Presenter was engaging and interesting
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Value of the training:)

- 7 (34) - 62%
- 6 (11) - 20%
- 5 (8) - 15%
- 4 (2) - 4%
- 1 (-)
- 2 (-)
- 3 (-)

Overall score: 6.4 out of 7.0

Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Training faculty:)

- 7 (31) - 56%
- 6 (12) - 22%
- 5 (9) - 16%
- 4 (3) - 6%
- 1 (-)
- 2 (-)
- 3 (-)

Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Subjects:)

- 7 (27) - 49%
- 6 (15) - 27%
- 5 (9) - 16%
- 4 (4) - 7%
- 1 (-)
- 2 (-)
- 3 (-)
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Presenters:)

- 7 (32) 58%
- 6 (13) 24%
- 5 (8) 15%
- 4 (2) 4%
- 1 (-)
- 2 (-)
- 3 (-)

Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Materials:)

- 7 (24) 44%
- 6 (16) 29%
- 5 (9) 16%
- 4 (5) 9%
- 1 (1) 2%
- 2 (-)
- 3 (-)

Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Length of Sessions:)

- 7 (20) 36%
- 6 (18) 33%
- 5 (9) 16%
- 4 (6) 11%
- 3 (2) 4%
- 1 (-)
- 2 (-)
Low/Poor = 1, High/Excellent = 7 (Presenters Methods)

7 (26) 47%
6 (16) 29%
5 (8) 15%
4 (5) 9%
1 (-)
2 (-)
3 (-)

Of all that you learned at this training, what do you consider the most valuable?

New communication skills with participants.
How important it is for me, as a judge, to establish a good relationship with individual participants and team members.
Moving beyond compliance to lasting change.
Studies indicating which practices were most important for successful drug courts and ideas for improvement.
The segment on Why Don’t They Change and How to Deal with Relapse were not on the evaluation, I attended both sessions and found both to be excellent in every category.
Motivational Interviewing
My views on addiction have shifted to a less judgmental stance. I am new to drug court and learned so much about how this nation wide program runs
Confidentiality/Ethics
Confidentiality and Ethics
Plenary topics
Planetary sessions
For me I attend the 3 Prong approach for Juveniles and I learned so much on how to make them accountable for there own programming. Plus I met with other colleges who gave me some ideas to help me engage with the juveniles in a different way.
Both the presentation on ethics and confidentiality, and on the certification process.
Dr. Me Lee’s topics on Relapse Prevention
I learned that I need to pursue additional education when it comes to 1) addiction as a medical condition; 2) motivational interviewing; 3) after/continuing care phase.
Marijuana, MRT and trauma, phases
The ethics portion of the training was the most valuable.
all presenters were great except for one
I found both presentations by Dr. Mee-Lee to be the most relevant to my role on the Drug Court Team. I enjoyed his presentation and he provided a great deal of valuable insights and information in a clear format that I am able to bring home and utilize next business day. This is extremely helpful as Drug Court is secondary to my primary job.
All of the information was beneficial to address at staffing or with Team Members.
Of all that you learned at this training, what do you consider the most valuable?

That there is a myriad of supervision styles and drug court styles
Dr. Mee-Lee's presentations
Best practices
The information about marijuana. Glad to know that not everyone agrees it's a good thing.
National presenters bringing the NEW research and approaches to our programs
The phases of the adult drug court. and the new regs

**DRUG COURT PHASES AND THE STANDARDS**

Of all that you learned at this training, what do you consider the least valuable?

Some of the treatment procedures.
The specific evidence presented that drugs and alcohol are bad for you. Presumably if people are involved in drug courts, they already believe that drug/alcohol abuse or addiction presents problems in people's lives and is something that needs to be addressed. Having said that, the studies presented were interesting.
Everything was valuable.
I found value or something of interest in the training's I attended.

N/A

The Drug Court procedures....
The details involved in the statewide review.
I'm still not sure what MRT is. Or what is unique about it.
The review of the data from studies. I appreciate the studies and the information gleaned, but the real value of the data is applying it and utilizing it in practice. For me, I would like to have the focus more on how changes could be made in Drug Court practices to capture the value of the findings.

N/A

PO Breakout session. Believe it would be beneficial and would be interested in it, but it had no format and quickly got out of control.
Certification process.
Extensive Data Review
the residential instructor/material

MB RESIDENTIAL
Comments:
I found that each presentation was valuable due to it being new information or as a review. I did struggle with the length of each session but I also understand that the presenters are attempting to provide each of us with as much valuable information they can in a short period of time.
Please note I was not able to evaluate all sessions attended, please see note above.
I was totally impressed by the quality of the speakers. I feel that we had the very best and I want to thank the organizers for bringing such a quality training to New Mexico. This is invaluable to the work that we do. It is highly important that we all continue to learn and grow so that we can better and better serve our clients - thank you for such a tremendous conference!
Good conference
Thank you NADCP for helping New Mexico put on a great training!
Excellent training overall.
the most valuable info was from Dr. Lee-Mee. He was engaging. Judges, providers, all stakeholders were involved and really trying to integrate his challenging and thought provoking ideas and presentation
There were several topics that I was interested in but could not attend as they occurred simultaneously to another. Maybe separating legal focus and treatment focused topics might help so Treatment Providers could attend more of those??? Overall, I enjoy the conferences and always find new information that is valuable to my work.

Your Email (optional):
albdwxp@nmcourts.gov
jessica.steeter@lopdnm.us
clodraf@nmcourts.gov
deborah.bankson@pmsnm.org
james@attachment.org
Lore.Chamberlin@state.nm.us

Would you like to allow National Drug Court Institute to use your comments (without your name) in our training literature?

Yes (30) 55%
No (25) 46%

Your Name (optional):
Robert fraze
Robert Fraze
David S. Borunda
Dumesnil James
Lore Chamberlin, LMSW